

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title.....	i
Copyright Notice.....	ii
Approval	iii
Dedication.....	iv
Acknowledgements.....	v
Abstract.....	vii
Table of Contents.....	x
List of Tables	xxi
List of Figures	xxiv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Modeling Hit and Nursing	3
B. State of Informatics Research.....	5
C. Theory Guiding Research	9
D. Statement of the Problem.....	10
E. Purpose of the Study.....	10
F. Background to the Problem	11
G. Theory Development	14
H. Summary.....	14
II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	16
A. Theoretical Framework: Assumptions and the Casual Format.....	16

B. Complexity and Complex Adaptive Systems (Cas) in Nursing.....	24
C. Health.....	28
D. Description of Conceptual Framework.....	30
E. Definitions and Posed Relationships	37
1. Nurse Workload.....	37
a. Conceptualization	38
b. Essential and accidental properties	41
c. Measure.....	41
2. Safe Patient Care Outcome	44
a. Conceptualization	47
b. Essential and accidental properties	48
c. Measure.....	48
3. Relationship Between Workload and Safe Patient Care Outcome.....	48
4. Turbulence	50
a. Conceptualization	54
b. Essential and accidental properties	60
5. Technology Structure and Nurse Adherence Protocols.....	61
6. Workarounds.....	64
a. The nature of workarounds	67
b. Conceptualization	69
c. Improvisation and intuition in workarounds.....	71
d. Workaround definitions	73

7.	Nurse Characteristics	74
8.	HIT Barrier.....	79
9.	Communication Variables	80
	a. Formal communication	81
	b. Informal communication.....	81
F.	Aims and Research Questions	82
1.	Specific Aim 1	83
	a. Research Question 1.1	83
	b. Research Question 1.2	83
2.	Specific Aim 2	83
	a. Research Question 2.1	83
	b. Research Question 2.2	83
	c. Research Question 2.3	83
	d. Research Question 2.4	83
3.	Specific Aim 3	84
	a. Research Question 3.1	84
	b. Research Question 3.2	84
	c. Research Question 3.3	84
4.	Specific Aim 4	84
	a. Research Question 4.1	84
	b. Research Question 4.2	84
	c. Research Question 4.3	85
	d. Research Question 4.4	85

e. Research Question 4.5	85
f. Research Question 4.6	85
g. Research Question 4.7	85
h. Research Question 4.8	85
5. Specific Aim 5	85
6. Specific Aim 6	86
III. METHODOLOGY	87
A. Background.....	87
B. Statement of Purpose and Rationale	87
C. Methodology and Timetable	89
1. Custom Website Data Collection.....	89
2. Sample.....	90
3. Inclusion Criteria	91
4. Exclusion Criteria	91
5. Setting	91
6. Methodology	91
7. Participation Assessment Data Analysis.....	92
8. Description of Variables	92
D. Study Objectives	92
1. Objective 1	92
2. Objective 2	96
3. Objective 3	96
E. Study Aims and Research Questions	96

1.	Assessment of Validity of Textbox Narratives	103
2.	Variable Definition of Attributes and Scaling of Qualitative Data	103
3.	Assessment of Measure Reliability and Preliminary Construct Validity Assessment.....	104
4.	Strengths and Limitations	105
IV.	RESULTS OF DATA ANALYSIS	106
A.	Description of Return Rate Characteristics.....	106
B.	Results of Data Analysis by Research Question (RQ)	108
1.	Research Questions 1	108
a.	RQ 1.1	108
b.	RQ 1.2.....	119
c.	RQ 1.3	122
2.	Research Questions 2.....	122
a.	RQ 2.1	122
b.	RQ 2.2.....	124
c.	RQ 2.3	124
d.	RQ 2.4.....	127
i.	Formal communication	130
ii.	Informal communication.....	130
iii.	Problem-solving workaround.....	130
iv.	Intuitive workaround.....	130
3.	Research Questions 3.....	130
a.	Part 1. HIT barrier/problem	131

b.	Part 2. Workarounds	141
i.	Problem-solving workaround.....	143
ii.	Intuitive workaround.....	145
iii.	Formal communication	146
iv.	Informal communication.....	146
4.	Research Questions 4.....	147
a.	RQ 4.1	147
b.	RQ 4.2.....	147
c.	RQ 4.3.....	150
d.	RQ 4.4.....	166
e.	RQ 4.5.....	166
f.	RQ 4.6.....	166
g.	RQ 4.7.....	169
h.	RQ 4.8a.....	169
i.	Chi-square.....	172
ii.	Moderation testing	176
1)	Moderation test #1	176
2)	Moderation test #2	178
3)	Moderation test #3	182
4)	Moderation test #4	186
5)	Moderation test #5	190
i.	RQ 4.8b.....	190
iii.	Mediation effects	190

1)	Mediation test #1.....	194
2)	Mediation test #2.....	195
3)	Mediation test #3.....	195
4)	Mediation test #4.....	199
5)	Mediation test #5.....	199
6)	Mediation test #6.....	199
7)	Mediation test #7.....	201
8)	Mediation test #8.....	201
9)	Mediation test #9.....	201
10)	Mediation test #10.....	202
11)	Mediation test #11.....	202
4.	Research Question 5	203
5.	Research Question 6	213
V.	CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	221
A.	Limitations of Findings and Interpretations.....	221
1.	Sample Integration	222
2.	Inside-Outside	222
3.	Weakness Minimization.....	222
4.	Sequential.....	223
5.	Conversion	223
6.	Paradigmatic Mixing.....	224
7.	Commensurability.....	226
8.	Multiple Validities	226

9. Political	227
B. Summary of the Main Findings	232
1. Specific Aim 1	232
a. Research Questions 1.1, 1.2, & 1.3.....	232
b. Interpretation of findings	232
c. Methodological and theoretical findings	233
d. Implications for theory construction.....	234
2. Specific Aim 2	234
a. Research Questions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, & 2.4.....	234
b. Interpretation of findings	234
c. Methodological and theoretical findings	235
d. Implications for theory construction.....	235
5. Specific Aim 3	235
a. Research Questions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, & 3.4.....	236
b. Interpretation of findings: HIT barrier.....	236
c. Methodological and theoretical findings	239
d. Implications for theory construction.....	239
e. Interpretation of findings: Workarounds	240
i. Workaround definitions	241
1) Problem-solving workaround.....	241
2) Intuitive workaround.....	242
3) Formal communication	243
4) Informal communication.....	245

ii.	Revised workaround definitions	246
1)	Problem-solving workaround.....	246
2)	Intuitive workaround.....	246
3)	Formal communication	246
4)	Informal communication.....	246
f.	Methodological and theoretical findings: Workarounds.....	246
g.	Implications for theory construction.....	249
4.	Specific Aim 4	252
a.	Research Questions 4.1 & 4.2.....	252
i.	Interpretation of findings	252
b.	Research Question 4.3	252
i.	Interpretation of findings	253
ii.	Interpretation of findings: Feedback loop.....	259
iii.	Methodological and theoretical findings	265
iv.	Implications for theory construction and clinical nursing practice	267
c.	Research Questions 4.4 & 4.5.....	267
i.	Interpretation of findings	267
d.	Research Question 4.6	268
i.	Interpretation of findings	268
ii.	Methodological and theoretical findings	270
iii.	Implications for theory construction and clinical nursing practice	271

e.	Research Question 4.7	271
i.	Interpretation of findings	271
f.	Research Question 4.8	272
i.	Interpretation of findings	272
ii.	Methodological and theoretical findings	272
iii.	Implications for theory construction and clinical nursing practice	273
6.	Specific Aim 5	276
a.	Research Question 5	276
b.	Interpretation of findings	276
c.	Methodological and theoretical findings	277
6.	Specific Aim 6	279
a.	Research Question 6	279
b.	Interpretation of findings	279
c.	Methodological and theoretical findings	279
d.	Theoretical implications corroboration of findings of Research Questions 5 and 6.....	281
C.	Discussion.....	284
D.	Data Analysis in a CAS	286
1.	Unexpected Findings	289
2.	Ethical Perspectives	290
a.	Conflict of interest	290
b.	Workaround motives.....	292
E.	Recommendations.....	292

F. Summary	293
APPENDICES	
A Nursing Informatics Literature Review	295
B. Turbulence Literature Review	301
C. Coding Scheme for Turbulence Variable	303
D. Pilot Study Findings.....	304
E. HITW Pilot Survey Instrument.....	333
F. Website Screen Shots.....	338
G HITW Survey Instrument	341
REFERENCES	345
VITA.....	392

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 3.1	Variable Definitions.....	93
Table 3.2	Study Aim Detail	98
Table 4.1	Demographic and Unit Characteristics for Nominal and Ordinal Variables	110
Table 4.2	Distribution Characteristics for Quantitative Measures; HIT Problem Variables	112
Table 4.3	Distribution Characteristics for Continuous Variables.....	115
Table 4.4	Distribution Characteristics for Quantitative Variables Associated with Turbulence	116
Table 4.5	Distribution Characteristics for Nurse Personality Characteristics and Nurse IT Opinions.....	117
Table 4.6	Turbulence Rotated Component Matrix	121
Table 4.7	Workload Characteristics.....	123
Table 4.8	Mean Level of Agreement Across Reviewer Pairs.....	125
Table 4.9	Frequency of Qualitative Workaround Themes.....	128
Table 4.10	Mean Level of Agreement Across Reviewer Pairs.....	129
Table 4.11	Examples of Comments That Were Reassigned to Definition Themes...	132
Table 4.12	Category of HIT Barrier/Problem.....	133
Table 4.13	Specific Barrier (Problem) Total Variance Explained.....	135
Table 4.14	Specific Type of Barrier Rotated Component Matrix.....	136
Table 4.15	HIT Qualitative and Quantitative HIT Problem Response Comparisons	140

Table 4.16	Workaround and Communication Frequency	142
Table 4.17	Workaround Sub Codes	144
Table 4.18	Relationship Between Nurse/Patient Ratio and Patient Care Hazard	148
Table 4.19	Relationship Between Patient Care Hazards (Safety) and Workload	149
Table 4.20	Cramer’s V Analysis of Contextual and Patient Safety Variables	151
Table 4.21	Cramer’s V Analysis of Problem/Barrier and Patient Safety Variable....	152
Table 4.22	Cramer’s V Analysis of Event Characteristics and Patient Safety Variables	153
Table 4.23	Pearson Correlations of Turbulence Variable	155
Table 4.24	Turbulence Attributes Also Identified as Problems Associated with HIT	157
Table 4.25	Example of Code Relations Browser Comparing Safety, Turbulence, and Workarounds	164
Table 4.26	Pearson Correlations of Communication Variables.....	168
Table 4.27	Nurses’ Responses to Communication and Improvement of Technology Questions	170
Table 4.28	Logistic Regression and Odds Ratio Calculation of Workaround Variables	175
Table 4.29	Moderation Test 1: Time Pressure Moderating Total Problems and Patient Hazard	177
Table 4.30	Model Summary: Time Pressure, Problem Total, Problem x Time Pressure	179
Table 4.31	Moderation Test: ANOVA: Workload Moderating Problems and Patient Hazard	180
Table 4.32	Hayes’ Process Moderation Model, Part 1, Part 2, Part 3.....	181
Table 4.33	Moderation Test: ANOVA: Turbulence Moderating Problems and Patient Hazard.....	184

Table 4.34	Hayes' Process Moderation Model.....	185
Table 4.35	Moderation Test: ANOVA: Nurse Experience Moderating Problems and Patient Hazard	188
Table 4.36	Model Summary: Nurse Experience, Problem Total, Problem x Nurse Experience	189
Table 4.37	Moderation Test: ANOVA: Patient Acuity Moderating Problems and Patient Hazard	191
Table 4.38	Hayes' Process Moderation Model Y = Hazard Scale, X = Problem Total, M = Acuity	192
Table 4.39	Correlations for Evaluation of Informal Communication Mediation	196
Table 4.40	Correlations for Evaluation of Formal Communication Mediation.....	197
Table 4.41	Correlations for Evaluation of Intuitive Workaround Mediation	198
Table 4.42	Correlations for Evaluation of Problem-Solving Workaround Mediation	200
Table 4.43	Correlations for Evaluation of Informal Communication Mediation Between Turbulence and Patient Safety	204
Table 4.44	Model Summary of Informal Communication Workaround Mediating Turbulence and Patient Safety.....	205
Table 4.45	Binary Matrix Example; Turbulence Workarounds and Safety	211
Table 5.1	Comparison of Quantitative and Qualitative Barrier Types	238
Table 5.2	Workaround and Efficiency Relationships	263

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Functional Feedback Loop.....	18
Figure 2.2	Tension-Homeostasis-Structure Model (Stinchcomb, 1968).....	19
Figure 2.3	Selective Maintenance of Functional Structure	22
Figure 2.4	Historicist Explanation of IV Medication Documentation	23
Figure 2.5	Health Information Technology Workaround (HITW) Model.	31
Figure 2.6	Functional Form of Historicist Explanation Format (Stinchcombe, 1968, p. 106)	43
Figure 2.7	Malinowski’s Description of Homeostatic Balancing Loop.....	46
Figure 2.8	Health Information Technology Workaround (HITW) Model, Workload, and Safe Patient Care	49
Figure 2.9	Feedback Structures	53
Figure 2.10	Intuitive Workaround and Problem-Solving Workaround	65
Figure 3.1	Health Information Technology Workaround (HITW) Model, Second Iteration	97
Figure 4.1	Link to survey as Seen on AACN eNewslne, June 2014.....	107
Figure 4.2	HIT Problem Quantitative Responses.....	138
Figure 4.3	HIT Problem Qualitative Responses.....	139
Figure 4.4	Interaction of HIT Problems, Workload, Turbulence, and Patient Care Hazard	158
Figure 4.5	Workload, Turbulence, Problems, and Safety Reinforcing Feedback Loops	160
Figure 4.6	Workarounds, Stress, Complexity, and Efficiency Balancing Feedback Loops	161

Figure 4.7	Close Loop Diagram Developed From MAXQDA Code Relations Output	165
Figure 4.8	Interactions of Intuitive Workaround, Formal, and Informal Communication.....	174
Figure 4.9	Interaction Plot of Moderation Effect of Workload on Number of Problems and Patient Hazard.....	183
Figure 4.10	Interaction Plot of Moderation Effect of Turbulence on Number of Problems and Patient Hazard.....	187
Figure 4.11	Interaction Plot of Moderation Effect of Acuity on Number of Problems and Patient Hazard	193
Figure 4.12	Abbreviated HITW Model: Micro/Mezzo Level Depiction	206
Figure 4.13	Points of Interface Between the Micro and Mezzo Levels	209
Figure 4.14	Causal Loop Diagram Micro and Mezzo Levels	212
Figure 4.15	Abbreviated HITW Model: Mezzo/Macro Level Depiction	214
Figure 4.16	Points of Interface Between the Mezzo and Macro Levels	217
Figure 4.17	Causal Loop Diagram Mezzo and Macro Levels	219
Figure 5.1	Workload, Number of Problems, Turbulence, and Patient Safety.....	254
Figure 5.2	Turbulence Score x Distractions.....	256
Figure 5.3	Turbulence Score x Interruptions.....	257
Figure 5.4	Turbulence Score x Information Overload	258
Figure 5.5	Positive Feedback Loops	260
Figure 5.6	Positive Feedback Loops with Workaround Variables.....	261
Figure 5.7	Negative Feedback Loops with Workaround Variables	264
Figure 5.8	Mediation Model.....	274
Figure 5.9	The HITW Model and Primary Feedback Loops at the Micro-Mezzo Level	278

Figure 5.10 The HITW Model and Primary Feedback Loops at the Mezzo-Macro Level..... 280