

Clinical Safety & Effectiveness Cohort # 17

Chronic Opioid Therapy (COT) Safety Assessment

CENTER FOR PATIENT SAFETY & HEALTH POLICY

■ UT Health Science Center

SAN ANTONIO

laking Cancer Histofry

The Team

Division

- Linda May (CS&E Participant)
- Christina Mireles (CS&E Participant)
- Becky Powers (CS&E Participant)
- Katie Stowers (CS&E Participant)
- UHS Ambulatory Clinic Interdisciplinary Team (Team Member)
- Sherry Martin (Facilitator)
- Sponsor Department:

– Division of Geriatrics, Gerontology, and Palliative Medicine Special thanks to Dr. Sandra Sanchez-Reilly, Dr. Jennifer Healy, Dr. Jeanette Ross

2

AIM Statement

We aim to improve adherence (completion and appropriate chart documentation) to the *"Chronic Opioid Therapy (COT) Safety Assessment"* from 0% - 50% in <u>patients</u> <u>receiving chronic opioid therapy (</u>COT, current users or those newly initiated on therapy) seen in the <u>UHS Palliative Care Fellows Clinic</u> from <u>October 2015 to January 2016</u>.

- Chronic opioid therapy safety assessment includes COT appropriateness assessment, misuse risk assessment, informed consent and therapy contract, patient specific counseling and education
- Appropriate chart documentation includes completed smartphrase in the recommendations section of the Palliative Medicine outpatient clinic note

Project Milestones

•	Team Created	July 2015
•	AIM statement created	Aug. 2015
•	Weekly Team Meetings	Aug 2015 – Oct 2015
•	Background Data, Brainstorm Sessions,	Aug 2015 – Oct 2015
	Workflow and Fishbone Analyses	
•	Interventions Implemented	Oct 1 st 2015
•	Data Analysis	Sept 1 st 2015 – Feb 29 th 2016
•	CS&E Presentation	Jan 15 th 2016

Background

- Opioid Misuse: Deliberate use of opioids in way other than prescribed due to addiction, including diversion
- 4.9 million people misused opioids in 2012¹
 - Complications from misuse on the rise²
- Nonmalignant pain guidelines:
 - Stress screening and monitoring for misuse^{3,4}
- Palliative care patients also misuse opioids
 - 40% of palliative patients at risk
 - 60% of those eventually show evidence of misuse.⁵
- No formalized guidelines or management recommendations exist for the palliative care population
- Our palliative care clinic is no exception



Planning



- Screening and Monitoring protocol development was more complex than anticipated
- Modified our plans for a smaller scale intervention
 - New patient screening
 - Plans to implement followup visit monitoring in the future

Chronic Opioid Therapy Safety Assessment Algorithm

	Patient being assesed for COT	
	Medical Issue Assessment	•Co-morbid conditions (delirium, dementia, falls, COPD, sleep apnea, etc) •Medication Interactions (QT prolongation; CNS depression; CYP interactions) •Metabolism/clearance issues (age, CYP interactions, organ dyfunction)
		t.
	Opioid Misuse Risk	Opioid Risk Tool (high, medium, low) UDT PATx Patient-specific drug use history
V	Informed Consent	• Discussed , agreed upon, signed
		t.
	Pain Contract	Appropriate medications listed, form compeleted, reviewed with patient and signed
	Safety and Education Counseling	•Reviewed with patient •Handout provided
	Appropriate for COT?	Physician determination of appropriateness for COT based on review of above factors
	Proper Documentation	•COT Safety assessment placed in documented in proper chart location •Pain contract and informed consent sheet filed
	Appropriate Follow up	 Appropriate follow up based on risk Appropriate opioid medication provided based on follow up

 \wedge

OPIOID RISK TOOL

	ь	Mark -	ach applies	Item Score If Female	Item Score If Male
1. Family History of Substance Abuse	Alcohol Illegal Drugs Prescription Drugs	[[[]]]	1 2 4	3 3 4
2. Personal History of Substance Abuse	Alcohol Illegal Drugs Prescription Drugs	[[[]]]	3 4 5	3 4 5
 Age (Mark box if 16 – 45) 		[1	1	1
4. History of Preadolescent Sexual Abuse	•	[1	3	0
5. Psychological Disease	Attention Deficit Disorder, Obsessive Compulsiv Disorder, Bipolar, Schizophrenia	[ve]	2	2
	Depression	[1	1	1
		Т	OTAL		
		T L M H	otal Scor ow Risk (loderate I igh Risk	re Risk Cate 0 – 3 Risk 4 – 7 ≥ 8	gory

Reference: Webster LR. Predicting aberrant behaviors in opioid-treated patients: Preliminary validation of the opioid risk tool. Path Medicine. 2005;6(6):432-442. Used with permission.



Fishbone



Driver Diagram

Aim	Primary Drivers	Interventions
Improve compliance with	Provider Forgets to Document	"Smart phrase" in EMR with
"Chronic Opioid Therapy		uniform access by all providers
Safety Assessment" to 50% in		Visual reminder in clinic to
patients receiving chronic		document
<u>opioid therapy</u> seen in the		Attending double-checks fellow
UHS Palliative Care Fellows		documentation
<u>Clinic</u> from <u>October 2015 to</u>		Weekly "follow up" with providers
<u>February 2016</u> .		to 1) provide feedback on their
		documentation, and 2) determine
		barriers to documentation
		Incorporate smart phrase template
		into EMR (future)
	Difficulty Accessing Necessary	Pharmacist bring printed PATx
	Information	report to clinic
		Attending access PATx database if
		pharmacist absent
		Nursing to determine presence and
		date of last pain contract prior to
		clinic
		Nursing to determine date of last
		UDT and print results prior to clinic
		Clearly marked location with
		appropriate documents needed to be
		completed
		Proper filing of completed
		documents so accessible at
		Subsequent visit
	Time Constraints	Consistent clinic starting for
		support start so the process becomes
		Nursing provides OPT and halps
		complete
		Necessary documents identified and
		readily available as above
		reauly available, as above.

Data Collection Form

C	linic Date:		Patient ID:	
	Data Collection Form			
Data Label	Description	Possible Resp	onses	
Age	Patient's Age			
Sex	Patient's Sex	□ Male °	Female 1	
Race	Patient's Race	Caucasian ¹		
		□ African Ame	erican ²	
		□ Asian ³		
		□ Native Ame	rican ⁴	
		□ Other ^s		
		□ Not Docum	ented ⁶	
Ethnicity	Patient's Ethnicity	Not Hispanic/Latino/Spanish ¹		
		□ Hispanic/La	tino/Spanish ²	
		□ Not Docum	ented ³	
Fellow	Fellow who saw the patient	□ Amdur ¹	Mireles ⁷	
		Davila ²	🗆 Pagan ^s	
		Frausto ³	Sawey ⁹	
		□ <u>Kapp</u> ⁴	Seetharma ¹⁰	
		□ LaCoss ⁵	Vandemeer ¹¹	
		□ May [®]	No Fellow [®]	
Attending	Attending who cosigned the note	□ Morrow ¹		
		Healy ²		
		□ Stowers ³		
PallDx	Patient's Palliative Diagnosis	□ Cancer 1	□ Pulm/COPD *	
		ESRD 2	Dementia °	
		Cardiac 3	□ Neurologic '	
		LI HIV *	Other ⁹	
OpioidDx	Patient's Diagnosis that Requires Opioids	□ Terminal Di	agnosis 1	
		Chronic (nonterminal) Pain 2		
		□ Both ³		
		□ Unknown 4		
AnyOpioid	Is the patient on opioids at all (from our clinic or other source)?	No Opioid N	laïve °	
		Yes Current	ly on Opioids 1	
OnOpioids	Is the patient prescribed opioids by this clinic? (Old & New Starts)	□ No ⁰	□ Yes 1	
OldOpioid	Has the patient previously been prescribed opioids by this clinic (Old)	□ No ⁰	□ Yes 1	
NewOpioid	Is this visit the first time patient has been prescribed opioids by the clinic? (New Start)	□ No [®]	□ Yes ¹	
LongAct	Is the patient prescribed long acting opioids?	□ No ⁰	□ Yes ¹	
PRN	Is the patient prescribed PRN opioids?			
DocuComp	Is the entire Opioid Safety Protocol 100% complete in the chart?	□ No ⁰	□ Yes ¹	
Appropriate	Does provider document patient is appropriate for opioids in the chart	□ No ⁰	□ Yes 1	
Concerns	Does the provider document whether or not there are concerns for the	□No ⁰	□ Yes 1	
	patient to take opioids?			
TypeCon	Type of concern documented	No document	entation at all 1	
		Documente	ed no concerns ²	
		Comorbid	Conditions ³	
		Medication	14	
		Organ Fund	ction ⁵	
		Drug Abus	e ⁶	

Cli	nic Date:	Patient ID:
FTConcern	Type of concerns documented in free text format	None
		Concerns:
ORT	Was ORT done/documented?	□No ⁰ □Yes ¹
ORTDate	Date ORT was completed	Not Done
		Date:
ORTScore	Raw ORT score	
ORTHML	Was ORT scored as High Medium or Low?	ORT not done
		High ²
		Medium ¹
		□ Low °
UDT	Was a UDT documented?	□No ⁰ □Yes ¹
UDTDate.	Date UDT was done	Not Done
		Date:
UDTResult	Was the UDT negative or positive?	No UDT done
		Negative ^a
		Positive ¹
UDTAppropri	Was the UDT appropriately positive or negative for the patient's opioid	No UDT Done
ate	status?	Appropriately Positive ¹
		Inappropriately Positive ²
		Inappropriately Negative ³
		Appropriately Negative ⁴
PaTx	Was the state screening documented?	□ No ⁰ □ Yes ¹
PaTxDate	Date the PaTX database was searched	Not Done
		Date:
PaTXResult	Were concerns identified on the PaTX?	No PaTX Documented
		□ No ⁰
		□ Yes 1
DrugHx	Is there a history of drug use documented?	□No ⁰ □Yes ¹
DrugHxDate	Date drug use history was assessed	Not Done
		Date:
Drug Type	Type of drug use documented	No Drug Use ^o Rx Opioids ⁶
		Marijuana ¹ Amphetamines ⁷
		Cocaine ² EtOH ⁸
		□ Crack ³ □ Polysubstance ⁹
		Heroin ⁴ Other Drugs ¹⁰
		Benzos ⁵
ETDrugHx	Details of drug use history	Blank if no drug use history
		Details:
Agreeable	Is there documentation that the patient understands opioid risks/is	□ No ⁰ □ Yes ¹
	agreeable to treatment?	
PainContract	Was a pain contract signed?	□ No ^o □ Yes ¹
PainConDate	Date Pain Contract was signed	Not Done
		Date:
Counseling	Date counseling was performed	Not Done
		Date:
SafetyHand	Is there documentation that a safety handout was given?	□No ^o □Yes ¹

Baseline Data



Pareto Chart of # Completed Documentation Components



No Patients Had Complete Documentation at Baseline Only 3 of 9 Components Were (Inconsistently) Documented at Baseline

PLAN: Intervention

- Implement a standardized protocol for routine safety assessment in patients on chronic opioid therapy
- Changes
 - Add Risk Assessment Tool Screening (Opioid Risk Tool)
 - Add standardized chart documentation
 - Add Chronic Opioid Safety and Education Counseling
 - Reorganize assignment of responsibilities for the protocol
 - Reorganize clinic flow (storage/filing of documents, etc)
- Physician and Clinical Staff education/training on new protocol

Chronic Opioid Therapy Safety Assessment Documentation Template

.COTsafetyassessment

Chronic Opioid Therapy Safety Assessment

- This patient (is / is not) appropriate for chronic opioid therapy (COT)
- Patient-specific assessment of co-morbid conditions, medications, organ function reveal the following concerns for COT therapy: (none / free text)
- Opioid Misuse Screening: ORT results: (date, high / med / low); UDT: (date, results); PATx (date reviewed, results); Pertinent Drug use history: (none / free text)
- Pain Contract and Informed Consent: Patient understands the risks and benefits to COT and (is/is not) agreeable to therapy. Date signed: (date) Placed on File: (yes / no)
- COT Safety Education and Counseling performed on (date) and handout provided (yes / no)

DO: Implementing the Change

- Implementation Date: October 1st 2015
- Implementation Plan:
 - Physician and office staff training prior to implementation
 - One CS&E team member in clinic during first week
 - Qualitative and Quantitative data collected after each clinic
 - 4 clinics since implementation (Oct 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th)

• Lessons:

- Clinical staff turnover disrupts flow
- Helpful to have a physician leader who supports the project in clinic
- Need handouts in English and Spanish
- Burden and compliance may be improved by incorporating documentation into note template

CHECK: Results/Impact

p-Chart of % Cases with Complete Documentation



Phase	LCL	UCL	Avg
Baseline	0%	0%	0%
Intervention	0%	100%	50%

We Hit Our Goal!

Improved Documentation

Baseline

Pareto Chart of # Completed Documentation Components



Intervention

Pareto Chart of # Completed Documentation Components





80%

60%

40%

20%

0%

-20%

09/02/2015

09/09/2015

09/16/2015

09/23/2015

10/07/2015

10/14/2015

10/21/2015

10/28/2015

Date

11/04/2015

11/11/2015

11/18/2015

2/02/2015

2/09/2015

2/16/2015

2/30/2015

Subgroup Range

Partial Credit

- 9 points needed for complete documentation
 - Baseline: <1 point per encounter documented
 - Intervention: 6-7 points per encounter documented
- Personnel changes were main source of variability

Act: Sustaining the results

- Palliative Care Clinic will continue to implement protocol when prescribing opioids to patients
 - Staff will continue to hand out Opioid Risk Tool
 - Plan to incorporate acronym into note template
 - Opioid Education and Safety handout will continue



Act: Sustaining the results

- Fellowship will train new incoming fellows in protocol during orientation to promote sustainability
- Future QI projects will continue from protocol that fellows will be able to conduct and present at American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine annual conference



HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE MEDICINE

Return on Investment

- At University Hospital, the average cost per day of inpatient stay is \$2,759
- During past year we had one adverse event involving clinic patient with drug overdose. He was admitted for four days at University Hospital.
- Total cost of hospital stay was \$11,036
- We had no adverse events during QI
- We saved UHS at least \$11,036!



Conclusions/What's Next!

- Successful QI projects involve collaboration and teamwork
 - Physicians, nurses, social work, chaplain, medical assistants all working together as a team
- Plan to continue project and gather more data regarding opioid safety and misuse
 - Consider obtaining feedback of patient attitudes towards protocol
 - Continue to tweak protocol



Conclusions/What's Next!

- Future benefits will include increased patient education and safety regarding opioid use
 - Increased education will potentially lead to less adverse events or side effects
 - Enhanced safety will hopefully make providers more comfortable with prescribing narcotics to adequately treat pain
- Future barriers will include incorporating new palliative care fellows and staff into QI project

Team Picture



Thank you!



References

- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4795. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. http://archive.samhsa.gov/data/NSDUH/2012SummNat FindDetTables/NationalFindings/NSDUHresults2012.htm#ch2 (Last accessed February 5, 2015).
- 2. Okie S: A flood of opioids, a rising tide of deaths. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1981–1985.
- 3. Chou R, Fanciullo GJ, Fine PG, et al.: American Pain Society-American Academy of Pain Medicine Opioids SCREENING FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSE 5 Guidelines Panel: Clinical guidelines for the use of chronic opioid therapy in chronic noncancer pain. J Pain 2009;10:113–130.
- 4. Federation of State Medical Boards: Model Policy on the Use of Opioid Analgesics in the Treatment of Chronic Pain. 2013. www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/FSMB/Advocacy/pain_
- 5. Barclay JS, Owens JE, Blackhall LJ: Screening for substance abuse risk in cancer patients using the Opioid Risk Tool and urine drug screen. Support Care Cancer 2014;22: 1883–1888.
- 6. Tan PD, Barclay JS, Blackhall LJ: Do Palliative Care Clinics Screen for Substance Abuse and Diversion? Results of a National Survey. Journal of Palliative Medicine. 2015;18(9)