


Q1 What is your current year of medical school?


Answered: 207 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
MS1	23.67%	49
MS2	32.85%	68
MS3	22.22%	46
MS4	21.26%	44
Total		207

Q2 How satisfied are you with the current level of communication from your medical school representative regarding campus carry at UTHSCSA?


Answered: 207 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Unsatisfied	9.66%	20
Neutral	28.50%	59
Satisfied	61.84%	128
Total		207

Q3 How informed do you feel on the topic of campus carry at UTHSCSA?

Answered: 207 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very uninformed	7.73%	16
Moderately uninformed	20.77%	43
Moderately informed	49.76%	103
Very informed	21.74%	45
Total		207

Q4 Please elaborate on what you like about the communication or what can be improved.

Answered: 41 Skipped: 166


#	Responses	Date
1	Only informed months after the fact-	10/5/2015 10:08 PM
2	I feel that Noah is doing a very good job in relaying the information to us about what is happening, but I am disappointed that the administration has failed to communicate any of this to us directly.	10/5/2015 2:00 PM
3	More frequent updates that are not as long. Sorry bro, I'm a product of the digital age.	10/5/2015 1:53 PM
4	It would be great to have dialogue of the open forum published for those of us who could not attend. I would also love to hear the school's intentions with the law.	10/5/2015 1:43 PM
5	I like the updates on Facebook.	10/5/2015 1:41 PM
6	I would like to know more about what we can and cannot change about the law.	10/5/2015 11:25 AM
7	Wait...people are actually talking about letting others carry loaded firearms at a medical center? You're out of your mind.	10/5/2015 9:25 AM
8	I like that we received multiple emails on the matter as well as updates on facebook. I also thought it was very good of the school to have the video conference.	10/5/2015 12:32 AM
9	I honestly might not have seen earlier emails, but I was unaware that law was passed. I do appreciate how the most recent email was very thorough and caught me up.	10/4/2015 6:15 PM
10	It's fine. The problem is the law.	10/4/2015 6:00 PM
11	The regular videos and emails that come from our SGA reps is very helpful in explaining both the process as well as some of the reasons why certain options are not on the table due to legal reasons	10/4/2015 2:46 PM
12	I am so grateful for the time our class representatives (Noah and Shirley) have put in to keep us up to date with the motions of the school w/r/t campus carry. However, given the gravity of this situation and its implications for the entire student body and faculty, I think it would be appropriate for us to ALSO be hearing from our deans more regularly. The deans are the ones who should be requesting our input via surveys and polls! (Thank you Noah and Shirley for being proactive about this!)	10/4/2015 1:34 PM
13	Clear brief synopsis of current rules/regulation as well as possible change. Again clear and brief.	10/4/2015 1:32 PM
14	The update emails are thorough and well organized.	10/4/2015 1:22 PM
15	I appreciate how much effort has been put into informing everyone about campus carry, including what it entails. Posting on FB is especially useful! I also deeply appreciate how open you guys have been to people coming to you and asking questions.	10/4/2015 12:52 PM
16	I feel like there was not much information given beyond this past week; I don't know if more heads-up was possible though	10/4/2015 12:21 PM
17	An short email with bullet points on what it entails would be great	10/4/2015 12:15 PM
18	I do enjoy the email corespondence which gives a synopsis of critical information. Given our busy schedules, it is nice to be able to have bite sized information.	10/4/2015 12:11 PM
19	Explain when deadlines are for Pres Henrich and when we can expect to hear his final report to submit to the Chancellor and the Legislature.	10/4/2015 12:06 PM
20	I like that strong active efforts regarding the discussion and discussion times, and conclusions.	10/4/2015 11:18 AM
21	The only way I've learned anything is reaching out on my own and finding sources. It would be helpful to have it all summed up and have options for what the school can and cannot do about it.	10/3/2015 11:28 AM
22	I like that I am being informed. I have an understanding that the campus has it's hands tied. I strongly disagree with the campus carry policy and would like UTHSCSA use every loophole they can to undermine the legislation, but I understand that that is not UTHSCSA's responsibility to do so.	10/2/2015 4:22 PM

23	It would have been nice to get a specific email like this BEFORE the law was passed so we would know it was going on and could do something about it. Now it's too late.	10/2/2015 1:09 PM
24	It would be nice if we had a way to show those with concerns about campus carry that CHL holders aren't the source of violence in schools.	10/2/2015 6:56 AM
25	I like that Noah has sent us multiple emails and facebook posts to keep us informed during every step of the process.	10/1/2015 7:57 PM
26	I was not able to make it to the town hall meeting due to being on an away rotation. Was very disappointed to have missed it--any chance something like this will happen again? Or was there a recording of the meeting?	10/1/2015 7:52 PM
27	I have been truly impressed by my UTHSCSA representative. He has done an excellent job of providing meaningful and timely information to our class. He was able to maintain a sense of decorum and proved to be quite adept at allowing for the kind of nuance required to effectively approach such an emotionally charged topic. He was pragmatic and advocated for compromise and reasoning in order to resolve disagreements. I got the impression that he was truly invested in representing his colleagues' concerns, rather than promoting his own political agenda. This was no small undertaking, but I think it was exceptionally well handled.	10/1/2015 7:47 PM
28	This survey and it's attached email are the first I am hearing of this.	10/1/2015 6:09 PM
29	I feel there has been a gross under communication on the topic to the general student body. Specifically, on the purpose of the law meant to allow law abiding citizens to exercise their right as allowed by the constitution of the United States. There is also an obvious misunderstanding and judgement being laid upon concealed carry holders. While people are obviously entitled to their opinion on the topic, it felt that there could be a better communication and education on what CHL means, what the process of obtaining a license looks like, why people choose to obtain a license, etc. The reflex reaction to guns in our country today is one of negative tone for various reasons including the portrayal by the mass media. I believe and think statistics would support that the crime rates of any given area have remained the same or have actually fallen where the right to concealed carry weapons has been allowed. There is in fact a herd immunity effect when responsible citizens are allowed to exercise their rights. As I am writing this response there is news of another school shooting in a community college in Oregon. Interestingly, Oregon is a state with "Campus Carry" legislation. The execution of the legislation however did not allow concealed weapons to be carried inside campus buildings (http://college.usatoday.com/2015/10/01/active-shooter-reported-on-campus-of-oregon-community-college/) I include this not to politicize or use these catastrophic and unfortunate loss of life to further a political agenda, but rather to highlight the importance of the upcoming decision being made by the working group. Not that I can say a concealed weapon holder could have prevented this, but I think there is an relevant discussion to be had regarding the "herd immunity" effect allowing concealed weapons on campus. Theories on human behavior and criminal behavior have demonstrated that the principle of uncertainty play a role in the decision of criminals when choosing victims and settings to carry out crimes. That explains one reason why many of these shootings take place in setting where firearms are legally prohibited. Knowing that the risk of failure is low and the promise of complete control creates a target for areas that do not allow concealed weapons. I do not proclaim to be an expert on this research or even this issue, but this discussion is one much deeper than personal feelings about guns. I believe it has great potential to add a degree of safety to our campus. I would love to discuss this and other issues further if necessary. I hope this short response has shed some light on my and others concerns. Christopher Adcock adcockcf@livemail.uthscsa.edu	10/1/2015 4:57 PM
30	I appreciate the openness and honesty with this issue as well as the inclusion of the students as well as faculty in this discussion.	10/1/2015 4:30 PM
31	Consistent updates from Noah and Shirley have been great, and I couldn't ask for more honestly.	10/1/2015 4:12 PM
32	The presentation of both the minutes of the meeting and the ability to stream the meeting was a great thought.	10/1/2015 3:21 PM
33	I like the email updates regarding the latest news about the policy.	10/1/2015 1:30 PM
34	I like the survey, and I like knowing what the committee has decided!	10/1/2015 1:11 PM
35	I appreciate update emails, especially when I cannot attend meetings in person	10/1/2015 12:46 PM
36	As a third year I'm not on campus very often. I appreciate being informed by school email.	10/1/2015 12:45 PM
37	I like the emails and Facebook video updates being provided. I just haven't taken the time to review all the information yet.	10/1/2015 12:32 PM
38	Lots of updated with relevant info and thought process behind decisions	10/1/2015 12:32 PM
39	Maybe some explanations why people feel there should be certain exclusions, restrictions, etc. Some of the announcements seem biased as well on part of the anti carry side of things. I sincerely feel like only the concerns of the people who don't want campus carry are being made apparent to us, and have yet to see the concerns of the people who are for this. I think it would be beneficial to know the concerns of both sides and let people decide for themselves what they think. The way it is now gives an illusion that "everyone" is against this.	10/1/2015 12:18 PM

40	I am glad that we are receiving email updates to inform us about what has been discussed and what steps are being taken next.	10/1/2015 12:15 PM
41	I appreciate the emails and facebook posts to keep all levels of students involved in the discussion, as well as the open forum which was held.	10/1/2015 12:11 PM

Q5 How comfortable do you feel about the idea of campus carry at UTHSCSA?


Answered: 204 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very comfortable	21.08%	43
Somewhat comfortable	11.76%	24
Neutral	5.39%	11
Somewhat uncomfortable	9.31%	19
Very uncomfortable.	52.45%	107
Total		204

Q6 Were you able to attend the open town hall meeting on Monday September 28th pertaining to the UTHSCSA campus carry policy.


Answered: 185 Skipped: 22



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	10.27%	19
No	89.73%	166
Total		185

Q7 Were you satisfied with the town hall meeting?


Answered: 182 Skipped: 25



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	4.40%	8
No	6.04%	11
Did not attend	89.56%	163
Total		182

Q8 Current areas under discussion for exclusion zones for campus carry include:
1)Mental Health Facilities and Clinics2)Labs and storage areas containing hazardous materials or infectious/contagious agents3)Grievance hearings4)Businesses contracted through UTHSCSA. i.e. Gold's Gym and Starbucks5)Areas where accidental discharge of a firearm could cause considerable financial harm to the University. Examples include MRI machines, flow cytometry machines, servers, etc.Exclusion zones would be clearly marked areas of the UTHSCSA campus in which concealed carry rules would not apply. Please choose which of these exclusion zones, if any, you support.

Answered: 184 Skipped: 23



Answer Choices	Responses
Metal Health Facilities	87.50% 161
Labs and storage areas containing hazardous materials or infectious/contagious agents	81.52% 150
Grievance hearings	83.15% 153

Businesses contacted through UTHSCSA	66.30%	122
Risk of Financial Damage	69.57%	128
No Exclusion zones	14.67%	27
Total Respondents: 184		

Q9 Please elaborate on your answer for the previous question. This would also be an appropriate time to suggest other exclusion zones.

Answered: 75 Skipped: 132

#	Responses	Date
1	All hospitals are gun-free zones - shouldn't our medical campus also be one? Especially because we connect directly to University Hospital, I am concerned that people would use our campus as a way to get into the hospital with a gun.	10/6/2015 7:26 AM
2	How about on the activity fields near Gold's Gym? I can imagine an intramural game getting out of hand . . .	10/5/2015 10:35 PM
3	ALL ZONES SHOULD BE EXCLUDED. True academic inquiry cannot exist in an environment where people are afraid their neighbor might be able to kill them.	10/5/2015 10:10 PM
4	Concealed carry suggests that the CSL holder will most likely be concealing a handgun on their person in some sort of holster, which by its nature contains the trigger and prevents the gun from firing. The gun has to be out of its holster in order to fire. A CSL holder knows that he/she should take out his/her weapon only as a last resort when there is an immediate threat to his/her life, only after he/she was first unable to avoid the threat more passively (escape, talk, etc). If the CSL holder feels comfortable with his/her gun and holster, I see no reason why we should restrict their access to these potential exclusion zones. While I understand the argument for excluding mental health facilities, I also stress that the individuals who have CSLs should know their limits. If they feel like they do not practice enough with their gun or would not be able to defend themselves against a potential threat (for example, a patient somehow noticing and trying to grab the weapon), then that individual should and would not bring the gun into the facility. I have been shooting with my father and have been thinking about getting my CSL for many years, but I would certainly not carry into a mental health facility unless I was practiced and confident in my abilities. Yes; having a CSL is a huge responsibility, but not because of "misfires" or because anger can "provoke a carrier to act out." You should not take away the rights of the many for fear of the one; that one will be foolish whether you allow them to or not.	10/5/2015 5:28 PM
5	I would prefer for the campus to be entirely gun-free. However, as this may not be possible, I don't think guns are appropriate in any areas of patient care. They are usually immobile and unable to get out of the way of gunfire. There are also patients (both inpatient and in the ED) whose identity is kept secret in order to help the patient hide from their attacker/abusive partner. I think those patients are especially at risk with guns allowed in the hospital.	10/5/2015 2:54 PM
6	Going along with exclusion zone 5, Areas where accidental discharge of a firearm could cause considerable financial harm to the University. Isn't it more of an issue that accidental or purposeful discharge of a firearm on campus my injure or kill the University's professors, employees, students, and patrons? I am wholly against open carry. Can you provide bullet proof vests to students to protect themselves from death, simply by going to school everyday?	10/5/2015 1:49 PM
7	I believe areas where there is a possibility of emotional instability should be excluded from the campus carry policy.	10/5/2015 1:43 PM
8	Classrooms, hallways, the library, auditoriums.	10/5/2015 9:27 AM
9	Excessive implementation of exclusion zones is likely to deter CHL holders from carrying anywhere on campus due to inconvenience. This would then negate the purpose of campus carry, which is to deter campus violence.	10/5/2015 8:03 AM
10	Mental health facilities should be an exclusion zone but it would not hurt to have an armed cop at the front. Gold's Gym and the Lecture Hall Foyer area (where Starbucks is) should not be an exclusion zone.	10/5/2015 12:44 AM
11	Its pretty moronic that a firearm would be excluded from being near MRI machines because of considerable financial harm but they are allowed to be around people all the time.	10/4/2015 8:28 PM
12	I have nothing productive to say.	10/4/2015 6:01 PM
13	If risk of financial harm is an adequate justification for an exclusion zone, then shouldn't risk of personal harm be one too especially in places where large crowds tend to gather (ex. classrooms, etc)?	10/4/2015 4:59 PM
14	I chose every exclusion zone on the list because I simply believe that there is no place for firearms in our institution.	10/4/2015 4:31 PM
15	It is very unsafe the have them on n hear areas of guns accidentally were discharged.	10/4/2015 3:22 PM
16	Businesses on campus (e.g., starbucks) should NOT be exclusion zones.	10/4/2015 2:56 PM

17	Ideally I think the entire campus should be an exclusion zone. If accidental discharge is a concern due to risk of "financial harm to the university, then any high traffic area should be at least an equal concern due to risk of injury or loss of life.	10/4/2015 2:28 PM
18	Why would anyone need a gun on campus. We have campus security that has a really good response time if anything were to happen. The library, classrooms, and hospital should most definitely be excluded!	10/4/2015 2:25 PM
19	CLASSROOMS. If I were a professor, and if I knew my students in my classroom possibly had loaded firearms, I would refuse to teach. Students can be just as angry, frustrated, and irrational as any other disturbed human being (running on little sleep, exorbitant stress, etc), and it would not surprise me to see a student "crack" with frustration. Can someone please explain how "financial harm to the University" only includes "areas where materials or equipment might be damaged"???? Why is it that there seems to be disregard for the "human damage" that could possibly occur if a firearm accidentally discharged in a classroom, the library, a hallway, etc???	10/4/2015 1:41 PM
20	I am against campus carry, so some of my response is based on the fact that I want to restrict it as much as possible. That being said, there are a lot of good reasons for these in particular to be exclusion zones. Mental health facilities are pretty obvious, having guns around mentally unstable individuals is not a good idea. With labs and storage areas, an accidental discharge could result in the release of dangerous chemicals. Grievance hearings are no place for guns either, as these can become heated and while I would hope people are reasonable enough to limit arguments to words, with high tensions that might not always be the case. The threat of having a gun present could also limit necessary discussion. With areas where financial damage is a risk, an accidental or intentional discharge costs money that could be put to much better use elsewhere. I don't have a solid reasoning for businesses contracted through UTHSCSA, unfortunately.	10/4/2015 1:40 PM
21	Ideally all of campus	10/4/2015 1:35 PM
22	I completely agree with all except the contracted businesses. How would the implication work out that you could carry in class but not at the Starbucks in the lecture hallway? Why should we regulate Gold's Gym? They are their own business, why not let them decide if they want to allow concealed carry?	10/4/2015 1:27 PM
23	I am concerned about mental health especially for the VA and UHS and feel that having guns present increases the likelihood of incidents	10/4/2015 1:07 PM
24	If businesses contracted through UTHSCSA were allowed to be exclusion zones how would people that conceal carry be able to remove their firearm? Lockers outside the gym (which would be a prime target for a robber) or what about starbucks? How do you avoid or control that area? walk through the lecture hall? I think that these things need to be considered heavily before they become an exclusion zone.	10/4/2015 12:55 PM
25	I don't think exclusion zones are necessary. I think very few people will choose to carry on campus anyway, and I doubt that it will be worth the trouble or the necessary cost to set up exclusion zones.	10/4/2015 12:45 PM
26	I think these areas are reasonable choices for exclusion zones. I had an intuition which tells me to keep them away from areas with children, but this is only a feeling that I cannot back up with any evidence.	10/4/2015 12:35 PM
27	I know classrooms are supposedly off the table. I also was unsure if the hospital was included in this? Because that would be a TERRIBLE place.	10/4/2015 12:25 PM
28	I don't understand why labs and storage areas containing hazardous materials or infectious agents need be included, if someone is a CHL holder, they will not be discharging a firearm in that area, but having to pass through or work in such an area limits those who desire to carry to protect themselves (particularly women who want protection when walking late at night). The same principles go for businesses contracted through UTHSCSA or areas that could cause loss. If there is need to discharge a firearm, it is because the CHL holder is in a life/death situation and may need to protect themselves from imminent harm/death. Accidental discharge of a gun should hold the person responsible for cleanup fees (hazardous chemicals), or repair of the damaged equipment. If a person were to spill the chemicals, or accidentally damage a server or MRI machine in other ways, they would likely be liable. Why is it different with damaging it with a firearm?	10/4/2015 12:19 PM
29	I think restricting contracted businesses is excessive. For example, Starbucks and subway don't have clearly marked space other than behind the counter. Would this only apply to behind the counter? If so, then it is okay though such a small space to have to put signage about.	10/4/2015 12:08 PM
30	Library	10/4/2015 12:06 PM
31	I think that we should be able to carry at businesses associated with UTHSCSA also	10/4/2015 12:06 PM
32	Why is there no option for the classroom? You should at least be getting the class's opinion on this and fight for what we want as a whole. Your job is not to speculate about what the school will or won't do and then ask us about that; your job is to find out what the SOM students want and take those concerns, no matter how extreme, to the board.	10/3/2015 11:31 AM
33	Library, parking lot, all of campus	10/2/2015 4:31 PM

34	If I were a psychiatrist or psychologist at a mental health facility I would like to have the legal option of arming myself to protect against a would be shooter.	10/2/2015 2:33 PM
35	The entire hospital	10/2/2015 1:30 PM
36	Classrooms!!! I would feel unsafe knowing that there may be other students who possess handguns.	10/2/2015 9:58 AM
37	There shouldn't be any restrictions.	10/2/2015 7:02 AM
38	Classrooms	10/1/2015 11:31 PM
39	I am personally not very comfortable with allowing guns on campus, but I think all of the above are appropriate areas to exclude guns. Mental health facilities post a dangerous threats if guns are left unattended or taken. Labs and storage areas could cause more damage if ever shot at due to materials stored inside. Businesses have not agreed to be a part of concealed guns on campus, and as such should be excluded.	10/1/2015 8:05 PM
40	I would really like it if the campus as a whole was a carry FREE zone, especially considering the college shootings from Oregon as recent as today.	10/1/2015 6:11 PM
41	UTHSCSA library, classrooms...if you can't have a gun at starbucks which is between both classrooms what are you going to do with your gun?	10/1/2015 5:28 PM
42	The enforcement and practicality of excluding businesses contracted through UTHSCSA is not feasible or fair to concealed handgun permit holders. This would effectively not allow license holders to traverse the hallway between the lecture halls and the library.	10/1/2015 4:59 PM
43	My only question with the businesses contracted through UTHSCSA is with the Starbucks and Subway beside the lecture halls. I do not understand how one can enforce the no-carry rule when the hall and classrooms that they line are allowed. Does the no-carry zone apply to the employees that work in these businesses? Because otherwise, I cannot see an effective method to enforce and regulate these two zones as a customer to their business.	10/1/2015 4:48 PM
44	Preventing a firearm from being taken to a business such as Gold's Gym would be infringing on our previously established right to carry unless a Texas Statute 30.06 sign is posted. It is not up to this committee to determine the policy of places of business (that are represented nationally no less) or to enact restrictions or regulations that have been deemed legal by the state and federal government elsewhere.	10/1/2015 4:44 PM
45	Lecture halls.	10/1/2015 4:23 PM
46	I think the entire campus should be an exclusion zone due to the fact that it is connected to a hospital.	10/1/2015 4:14 PM
47	It do not think it is lawful to make special exceptions to a state-wide policy. Freedom requires risk. Also, it blows my mind that businesses such as Gold's Gym and Starbucks are being considered as exclusion zones... WHY????!!! I can carry in any Gold's Gym or Starbucks that I want to ALREADY under normal state law! What in the world makes them special all of a sudden? Is it because they have a contract to the university - which will also be a free place to carry? Absolutely ridiculous - please take that down as an exclusion zone, it's just silly. At least the others make some kind of sense.	10/1/2015 4:00 PM
48	Classrooms need to be excluded. It is atrocious that the law was passed in the first place. However, our government made this poor decision and we must live with it. We should not have to go to class with students who have guns. I do not feel comfortable to attend class with people who have weapons and I know many others feel the same.	10/1/2015 3:58 PM
49	I believe that there has been a slight overreaction in the academic community regarding this modification to state CHL law. The tiny population of licensed students and staff, and even smaller population of those who choose to carry at their place of work or learning, does not warrant such fear. That said, it is encouraging that leaders are stepping up from the student body to address any concerns. I hope that limits any polarization that could have stemmed from these changes.	10/1/2015 3:27 PM
50	The large lecture halls where many people could be harmed by a firearm, such as Pestana, Holly Auditorium, Hurd Auditorium, etc.	10/1/2015 3:18 PM
51	Any testing environment. I think test days and rooms should have temporary exclusion zones applied to those areas to allow everyone to feel safe during exam time. If that is not possible, an alternate room should be made available for students that don't want to be in the same testing room as someone that is carrying a gun.	10/1/2015 3:06 PM
52	Businesses on campus should not be exclusion zones.	10/1/2015 2:41 PM
53	the library	10/1/2015 1:56 PM
54	Firearms have safety and there is as close to zero chance of one just going off spontaneously without a finger on the trigger. Only handgun with no safety is a glock so I am all for no glock carrying on campus since it has no safety. Grievance hearings shouldn't be a no carry zone because those attending this school have passed thorough background checks to begin with and limiting them in grievance hearings is blatantly saying you don't trust people to handle situations maturely. Metal isn't allowed in CT or Mrl rooms to begin with.	10/1/2015 1:42 PM

55	Other exclusions should include the library and medical student lounge.	10/1/2015 1:35 PM
56	I think the library and lecture halls should be exclusion zones as well	10/1/2015 1:34 PM
57	starbucks? that's in the school. it would be very hard to implement. if someone works in a lab, this is saying they're automatically unable to carry. and risk of financial damage (i'd hope someone was trained enough with a firearm where they would know about this)	10/1/2015 1:24 PM
58	Extra sites: places of religious worship Reasoning for this choices: Mental Health Facilities are places where people go who are seeking health care. I would like that to be treated as if it were a "hospital" setting, and be exempt. Moreover, tensions run high in mental health facilities, and I would like the providers to be able to do their job without feeling unsafe near a gun. Labs and storage areas can release toxic fumes. Businesses contracted through UTHSCSA are not part of the UT system, and that legislation does not apply to them. They should not be considered.	10/1/2015 1:16 PM
59	Mental health facilities is very appropriate as patients can be unpredictable and dangerous if they become armed. Students have been attacked by patients in the past. I don't feel grievance hearings are absolutely necessary, but they are understandable for the comfort of those participating. Businesses contracted through UTHSCSA would be difficult to enforce, especially Starbucks and Subway due to their hallway location. At what point would the student be considered in starbuck or subway. Additionally, the company does not post 30.06 since at there independent stores. It doesn't seem reasonable that the store fronts in UTHSCSA should be exempt. Gold's gyms also do not post 30.06 signage. Additionally, they have lockers where firearms could be safely stored while using the facilities. As for areas of financial harm and harardous material, I feel these would also be difficult to enforce. Especially if there is no safe place to secure firearms. Persons legally carrying concealed firearms have been vetted through the state and deemed safe to carry weapons. They have also received training on the proper use of firearms which has to be periodically renewed. The likelihood of an accidental discharge is unlikely. The whole point of a concealed handgun is that it remains concealed unless there is an imminent threat. Thus, if a authorized carried where to take out their weapon or discharge the weapon there is a bigger problem at hand.	10/1/2015 1:04 PM
60	I don't think guns should be allowed campuses all together, so my choices are going to favor any and all limitations	10/1/2015 1:02 PM
61	Until evidence is put forward that demonstrates necessity of these exclusion zones I feel that they are being generated on emotions, which is exactly how these zones shouldn't be generated.	10/1/2015 1:02 PM
62	I don't think that carry of firearms should be allowed in the lecture halls or library of the school. UTHSCSA is a place to learn, discuss and challenge opinions without fear of harm. Allowing campus carry in the classrooms will in no way benefit the students and teachers, but very well may result in harm to both.	10/1/2015 1:00 PM
63	I am sad that protection of students, patients, and healthcare providers is being seen as a lesser importance for choosing allowable exclusion zones than financial damage to state and county property.	10/1/2015 12:51 PM
64	Lecture halls	10/1/2015 12:39 PM
65	Classrooms seem like another natural choice for exclusion zones - one participant at the meeting raised the point that classrooms are forums of open discussion and there is a level of concern that students would not feel comfortable disagreeing with fellow classmates if there is the possibility that the classmate is carrying a handgun.	10/1/2015 12:38 PM
66	The mental health facilities are ambiguous to which this actually applies. If it's the mental health facilities on campus and not talking about the hospital then I don't agree with that. I agree with not making areas like Gold's Gym abide by the same rule, because they are open to the general public as well as people affiliated with UTHSCSA. I would allow them to make the choice as to whether or not they want to. They could see it as bad for business and I believe it is their right to determine if they allow concealed weapons or not. As for Starbucks and others where people don't enter other than employees of these establishments, and they are not open to the general public, I don't think this same concept would apply.	10/1/2015 12:35 PM
67	Does risk of financial damage not extend to students and faculty? I think that consideration needs to be discussed	10/1/2015 12:33 PM
68	I don't like this law and would be in support of as many exclusions zones as possible	10/1/2015 12:33 PM
69	My only concern is how the Starbucks zone would be enforced. The Starbucks outside the MS1 and MS2 lecture halls isn't really set off, so I'm not sure how practical that is.	10/1/2015 12:32 PM
70	I prefer considering my safety over the potential financial damages to university property	10/1/2015 12:24 PM
71	Emergency department	10/1/2015 12:20 PM
72	I see no reason there should be any exclusion zones. The law was passed so that there is increased freedom and restricting areas decreases that freedom.	10/1/2015 12:17 PM
73	I do NOT feel comfortable with any concealed weapons being in our classroom. What if they are loaded and accidentally go off?? This is absolutely terrifying and there is NO REASON for a student to carry a gun. I would also be afraid to upset someone with my opinion. And I am saying this as a fairly conservative member of the Republican Party.	10/1/2015 12:12 PM

74	Classroom, library	10/1/2015 12:10 PM
75	Test	9/30/2015 8:45 PM

Q10 Please list up to three of your most pressing comments and concerns regarding the implementation of campus carry at UTHSCSA

Answered: 68 Skipped: 139

#	Responses	Date
1	I don't even know where to start.	10/5/2015 10:10 PM
2	I feel more safe on campus knowing that my fellow classmates and faculty are carrying, even though I don't know who they are.	10/5/2015 5:28 PM
3	My main concern is that increasing the number of guns on campus will increase the risk of gunfire and fatalities.	10/5/2015 2:54 PM
4	I don't think that anyone should have the right to bring a firearm to campus. It does more harm than good. I feel protected by the number of police officers on campus.	10/5/2015 1:54 PM
5	-Our students are competitive; that's how we have all gotten where we are. I can imagine a situation when one student is angry at a fellow student or professor and opens fire. This is especially dangerous to think about when it comes to considering the stress and mental health issues we all face daily in such a rigorous environment. -If UTHSCSA is going to implement the law, I believe they should provide protection (ie, bullet proof vests) to its students or employees. -I hate to think that some people, including myself, will now be forced to attend school in a fear-inducing place. Once this goes into effect, we all have a greater chance of dying simply from attending school with people who are carrying guns.	10/5/2015 1:49 PM
6	1) I don't want to turn our campus into a military installation. 2) Having a CCL does not make you a competent firearm owner or user. 3) Guns escalate things.	10/5/2015 9:27 AM
7	1. Does this law only apply to firearms? What are the rules for other forms of defense such as knives? Tazers? Pepper Spray? 2. Is the school or the campus police going to have a list of the CHL holders?	10/5/2015 12:44 AM
8	You should station UTHSCSA police in the lecture halls. At the very least we'll have some protection against children with weapons. God help us all.	10/4/2015 6:01 PM
9	I believe there is no place for firearms at our institution. The environment at the medical school and/or UTHSCSA facilities is an inherently stressful one. I strongly believe that introducing campus carry will likely increase that stress level. How many massacres have to occur before our society realizes that our obsession with guns is a toxic one? Yes, there is the argument that "only a good guy with a gun can stop a bad guy with a gun" but, wasn't there a good guy with a gun at the campus in Oregon? What happened then? Nothing. That argument is misleading and unrealistic.	10/4/2015 4:31 PM
10	parking lots should also be a no carry zone. I want this not to be a thing so if there could be more restriction in a school/hospital that would be great. highly stressed individuals, coming and going. is there anything more we can do?	10/4/2015 3:43 PM
11	I understand that UTHSCSA has its hands largely bound by state law. So these comments are aimed more at the law than at UTHSCSA's policy change aimed at complying with the law. 1)How unfortunate that the free exchange of ideas will be hampered by this policy. And it will be. How likely are you to be honest on emotionally-charged, controversial issues knowing that anyone in that room could be (lawfully) carrying a gun? How will increased anxiety over the lawful possession of guns on campus by non-law-enforcement individuals affect the learning environment (aside from stifling free exchange of ideas in the classroom)? 2) How well did the campus carry law work for Umpqua?	10/4/2015 3:26 PM
12	I wish it were not in place but it's law. Do the student have any power to not allow guns and all if an overwhelming majority agree to have a ban?	10/4/2015 3:22 PM
13	I'm happy about campus carry and hope many students take advantage of the opportunity to finally exercise their rights to carry their CHL weapons on campus.	10/4/2015 2:56 PM
14	Everything concerns me including the rationale behind it.	10/4/2015 2:48 PM
15	As a medical professional, I am responsible for patient safety. If firearms are permitted in any patient care areas, this places excessive responsibility on medical professionals, for which we are untrained, and poses risk to our patients and ourselves. Also, I feel that allowing firearms on campus necessitates a greatly increased police and security presence, which will be a financial and logistical strain on the university.	10/4/2015 2:28 PM

16	See above. 1. Angry/stressed/unsupported students frustrated with a non-communicative UME which frequently and repeatedly treats the student body like untrustworthy children by being verbally hostile, mistrusting, and passive-aggressive, rather than with support, encouragement, and professionalism. 2. Accidental discharge of a firearm where there are PEOPLE. 3. Deterioration of ability to learn when faculty alter their approach to the campus and student body as a result of fear of firearms on campus.	10/4/2015 1:41 PM
17	My most pressing concern is that the threat of concealed guns on campus and in lecture halls will stifle discussion when it comes to sensitive and controversial topics. As students, we're under a great deal of stress and that can lead to actions that might not occur otherwise.	10/4/2015 1:40 PM
18	1. Safety 2. Lack of information regarding penalties for violation 3. Lack of high standards regarding gun licensing	10/4/2015 1:35 PM
19	I agree with all the exclusions zones except the contracted businesses. Let them decide for themselves - we are not in a position to regulate their business. How does this affect UTHSCSA students at the VA?	10/4/2015 1:27 PM
20	If i see someone with a gun, or perhaps get a glance of a concealed gun, I would be previously nervous and alert someone, but now if I see a gun, I won't know to think of them as a threat, or as a simple CHL. I dont know what to think of it as a whole idea, I personally am only slightly uncomfortable with the idea, but I can get over it if I realize that campus carry will reduce the amount of gun violence on campus (perhaps by threats reducing their attacks due to the possibility of CHLs that will prevent them from carrying out the threat). I don't know if the campus carry will just make it more easy for people who want to do harm to get a CHL and bring their weapon in when they want to use it, due to the reduced fear of being apprehended before making it to the class with a gun.	10/4/2015 1:25 PM
21	-more dangerous area in hospitals -mental health concerns -academic freedom	10/4/2015 1:07 PM
22	If anyone needs to carry, they should carry an UNLOADED firearm. this could potentially lower risk of accidental discharge. Signs need to be clearly posted and in accordance with the law. There are many signs that need to be updated so that they reflect the new rules.	10/4/2015 12:55 PM
23	I am concerned that student have a false sense of security derived from excessive focus on guns and potential violence involving guns. I think a focus on keeping our student populace informed and protected is more valuable than worrying about concealed carry on campus	10/4/2015 12:45 PM
24	I want to be more informed about how individuals acquire approval from the university to carry on campus. I am concerned about having classmates carrying weapons in high stress environments which can manifest in the course of medical school and the medical field in general. High stress can lead to poor judgement, and I don't want that poor judgement to negatively impact my studies or my work. Again, this is more an issue of personal feeling more than something I can back up with facts and evidence. Lastly, I would like some assurance that those who carry are held to strict codes of conduct with respect to firearms handling and safety. Should any violations occur and discovered to be gross, I would expect their privilege to carry on campus be revoked.	10/4/2015 12:35 PM
25	I will feel unsafe Patients will feel unsafe Facilitates accessibility of guns and an easy way to increase the GSWs in our ER!	10/4/2015 12:25 PM
26	I believe that people who are generally not supportive of campus carry will elect as many zones as possible in an effort to make it more difficult to carry on campus, whether or not there is merit to excluding the zone. The unreasonable fear that people expressed at the town-hall meeting was the most pressing. For instance: that a professor would limit office hours, or a student would be afraid to disagree with their peer who may or may not have a gun. Is the implication that the person would kill them? Threaten them? Such actions are very rare for any person to do, much less the students/faculty at this institution. Any person who is intent on causing harm will bring the gun, not having a CHL will not stop them. CHL holders are committed to following the law and only using the gun in a life/death situation to protect themselves or others. The fear that a student who happens to be a CHL getting so angry that they would threaten or kill a student or teacher is incredibly unlikely, and the way they discuss it shows little regard for those that have had the background checks, have been screened and admitted to this institution, and that there are no events here in recent history that shows students/faculty with such violent behavior towards their peers	10/4/2015 12:19 PM
27	1-Disgruntled type A people under a ton of stress showing up angry and we become the next school shooting. 2-the fact that getting a CHL is easy and doesn't actually prepare you to handle an emergency situation. It doesn't make you a skilled soldier, and even soldiers don't always handle stresses of an emergency situation with an active shooter well. I assume that's why people want guns on campus-to protect against other people with guns? The problem is that when someone fires a weapon, even people trained to react to that don't always react the way they assumed they would. It's just going to lead to more bullets in the air fired by scared people who think they're being heroes. You better hope they fire them at the actual "bad guy" and that they do it exactly as they're trained in CHL class, at like 10 Meters with nobody screaming at them or pointing a gun at them, with both hands steady and feet planted firmly. I literally can't make it clear enough that a CHL does not prepare a civilian for this situation.	10/4/2015 12:17 PM
28	I DON'T WANT TO GET SHOT	10/4/2015 12:11 PM
29	none	10/4/2015 12:06 PM

30	1. medical school is hard, causes stress, even the nicest student with a CHL can get stressed and snap and now they're in a classroom with a gun 2. not being able to talk about sensitive topics because people have guns in the classroom and that's terrifying 3. not having things like the trans panel and patient panel because they're not going to want to come if there are guns	10/3/2015 11:31 AM
31	I am concerned that any person carries the power of immediate, lethal force on campus. I am concerned that there will be inherent imbalance between those who are armed and those who are not. I am concerned that the only restriction on the use of a lethal weapon is the competence and will of individuals not governed by any overarching body beyond themselves. I believe that weapons should not be anywhere near a place of healing, which is how I see the hospital. I disagree with this law in its entirety especially since I see no benefits to carrying firearms on any person especially since non-lethal deterrents exist.	10/2/2015 4:31 PM
32	It saddened me to hear that Dr. Krolick was considering leaving the school because of this matter and it was also saddening to hear about classmates fears of guns in the classroom. I hope that there are more measures taken to inform our community about the implementation of the law and the CHL requirements and training.	10/2/2015 2:33 PM
33	The entire hospital should be gun free. I don't know why anyone under any circumstance would need a gun in the hospital with the exception of police in the ED. It is significantly more dangerous to have a gun in the hands of a civilian than for everyone to be unarmed. Having a gun present creates an immediate threat to everyone and everything in a closed space. It can be triggered accidentally, stolen, used by someone who was never intended to use it, it can be used purposefully to shoot someone or something. I've heard the argument many times that carrying a gun is for protection against a potential threat or hypothetical situation where they would need to shoot an armed assailant. Well that is a hypothetical threat with no immediate danger. A loaded gun is not a hypothetical. It is the introduction of a threat that can kill or injure someone with the pull of a trigger. This law is really more for the faculty and students who regularly come to the campus. They are now informed that they are allowed to carry a concealed handgun. This sends the message that guns are okay in the hospital. As the public finds this out, this sends the message that guns are acceptable in the hospital setting. Now patients and patients visitors can bring a gun onto the wards as they please. This makes me feel unsafe as a third year student. I do not want to work in an environment where guns are allowed around sick, unstable, grieving, stressed patients and their visitors. I have seen enough drama go on in the hospital with violent outbursts and emotional eruptions. The last thing we need is to add an explosive device that can kill people to the mix. Excluding mental health facilities is a great idea, but it is not enough. Many other patients in the hospital not on the psychiatry floor have mental health problems and are mentally unstable. For example traumatic brain injury patients are very prone to confusion and mental instability but are not watched in a mental health facility. They are watched by neurology or medicine. If a gun is allowed to be around them, even as a concealed carry, you CREATE the possibility of gun violence, where it did not exist before.	10/2/2015 1:30 PM
34	No concerns. People that have a concealed carry license are trained and proficient. If they were to brandish their weapon which is a concern of many opposers, this is clearly against the law and the carrier should be reprimanded. Otherwise, the firearm must be concealed and no one should know of it otherwise.	10/2/2015 8:57 AM
35	Discrimination of those who carry by individuals who do not fully understand the responsibility of being a CHL holder.	10/2/2015 7:02 AM
36	I don't think that any use or ability for a firearm to be on campus will be helpful in any situation that can occur on campus. Because of this, I would push to make the entire school an exclusion zone based on its attachment to a hospital (using a loophole, I know) to prevent any danger to our students and staff. I believe our safety is more important than a desire to have a gun. Even if someone else is licensed to have one, and their argument is for my safety, I honestly don't trust them to protect me because I think they will cause more harm than good.	10/1/2015 10:49 PM
37	I am not a personal fan of guns in public settings because I feel they open up the opportunity to a serious threat on our campus. People in medical school are usually very stressed and having concealed guns could lead to unwanted consequences on an individual basis.	10/1/2015 8:05 PM
38	1. This legislation jeopardizes the safety of all faculty and students. Furthermore, it undermines the ability of campus law enforcement officials from keeping our campus secure. There is a troubling pattern of senseless violence in our country that needs to be addressed. This new policy is a step backwards, rather than forwards. 2. The notion that professors might be unwilling to express themselves freely, because they fear for their personal safety, is deeply troubling. This policy not only endangers our personal safety, but it is detrimental to our education. It undermines the learning process and directly opposes the primary objective of any academic institution.	10/1/2015 8:01 PM

39	<p>1. I would like to advocate for this information to be clearly and truthfully communicated to all future students who interview at our school at the outset, especially those coming from out of state. Additionally, if the institutional administration is agreeable to voice this concern to a higher level, I would recommend that we offer the suggestion to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board that transparency in communicating this legislation to incoming students of Texas universities become a priority. 2. Although we cannot make rules that contradict the terms of this law, we can advocate for safety and voice our encouragement to the campus body to be courteous to one another. I believe it would be meaningful for administrative leadership to speak openly to students and staff about safety and respect for one another. It could be helpful, for example, for school deans or department chairs to request in open forums that students or staff who plan to carry concealed handguns be sensitive to those who are uncomfortable around guns. Although nothing in this sense can be enforced through policy, I don't believe it would be undue to voice this as a polite request. 3. I am among those who feel that my safety will be threatened once this law comes into effect. As a 4th year student, it is less pressing to me personally because my classroom education will more or less be over by the time this activates. However, I can say that this law being in effect will certainly deter me from wanting to come to campus.</p>	10/1/2015 7:52 PM
40	<p>1) Misuse or accidental use if it falls into the wrong hands (children or campus theft) 2) Professional schools tend to have a high rate of mental illnesses such as depression and can be susceptible to mood instability. I would consider this a risky place to have availability or to even know if someone has availability. 3) I believe it contradicts our philosophy as a medical school to do no harm and I see no reason to carry such a lethal weapon around. If safety is a concern, I believe we should strengthen our campus security or encourage other, non lethal ways of personal defense.</p>	10/1/2015 6:31 PM
41	<p>1) Please do not allow guns on campus. 2) Please do not allow guns on campus. 3) Please do not allow guns on campus.</p>	10/1/2015 6:11 PM
42	<p>I know it isn't a reason but why do people need guns on our campus at all especially in our class room?? All of the health science students (medical, dental, nursing, PA, PT) are under a lot of stressful conditions while working to earn their professional degrees. Just seems like a bad thing waiting to happen.</p>	10/1/2015 5:28 PM
43	<p>Perhaps my biggest concern would be the open carry law which was also passed in Texas earlier this year. Does this mean that guns can be carried openly in our school? I feel like that would be very off-putting to out of state interviewees.</p>	10/1/2015 5:14 PM
44	<p>Please see previous comments.</p>	10/1/2015 4:59 PM
45	<p>So I see that these no-carry zones includes locations with MRI machines, etc, but handguns in the hospital is still illegal, correct? I personally do not feel comfortable with people carrying in the hospital or clinics. I do not know if UH and UTHSCSA are legally separate campuses or if UH is included in that.</p>	10/1/2015 4:48 PM
46	<p>I have no concerns other than individuals opposed with campus carry causing conflict with CHL holding individuals, who are only trying to protect themselves and others in a growing age of violent acts.</p>	10/1/2015 4:44 PM
47	<p>See number 9.</p>	10/1/2015 4:00 PM
48	<p>This law should not have been passed. There is not place for a gun on a school campus. This will not save any lives to the disbelief of conservative Texans. Please make the classrooms gun-free. It will be a detriment to this school and a future embarrassment for the state of Texas.</p>	10/1/2015 3:58 PM
49	<p>See above.</p>	10/1/2015 3:27 PM
50	<p>I think it's great! I hope many students and faculty exercise their right to bring their concealed weapons onto campus. My only concern is that a loud vocal minority who oppose this will be heard disproportionately.</p>	10/1/2015 2:41 PM
51	<p>1. I am worried about studying at the library in the evening without the library being an exclusion zone. 2. I'm concerned about having gun lockers as target areas for theft or harm. 3. If there is currently no budget to implement this policy, where is the money coming from for things like gun lockers?</p>	10/1/2015 1:35 PM
52	<p>It honestly makes me feel very unsafe to allow students to carry firearms anywhere on campus</p>	10/1/2015 1:34 PM
53	<p>i don't find it a point to make a big deal of this. can't please everyone. if they have a license to carry, let them.</p>	10/1/2015 1:24 PM
54	<p>(1) That cost will be the determining factor over what the campus really wants (2) The logistics of setting up gun lockers through the patchwork of exclusion zones (3) The safety of having gun owners part with their guns</p>	10/1/2015 1:16 PM
55	<p>Any exclusion zones on campus, I'm whole heartedly for the mental health facilities, need to be extensively discussed and evaluated for the practicality of implantation. There needs to be a general education of students and faculty on the laws regarding concealed carry and the process individuals must go through to obtain and maintain a license. Brief gun safety education wouldn't hurt either.</p>	10/1/2015 1:04 PM
56	<p>I'm not sure how "Risk of Financial Damage" makes the list, and yet another room where accidental discharge not only would imply a financial cost but potentially the cost of lives (e.g., the CLASSROOM) does not. I read the email, and I understand legally why this decision has been made. But I heartily disagree with this choice.</p>	10/1/2015 1:03 PM

57	Handguns in classrooms is very concerning and uncomfortable thought.	10/1/2015 1:03 PM
58	1. I don't believe guns should be allowed in the classroom 2. Having a concealed weapons license does not equate you to having the ability to shoot well, appropriately, or safely. Under stressed conditions all good habits get thrown out the window, unless you have the training and skills to cope appropriately. police officers miss their target 60% of the time. 3. There is no ability to control for a person's poor judgment.	10/1/2015 1:02 PM
59	1 - I would like education to be put forward for everyone so that idea that CHL holders are criminals or negligent can finally be put to rest. 2 - I do not approve of the idea of gun lockers because it not only removes the anonymity of having a CHL (which is the purpose of concealed), but it creates a target for theft. - I would like further gun statistics from the general population to be generated and given to all the faculty and students.	10/1/2015 1:02 PM
60	1. It is our duty to never promote harm to come to our patients, by our hands or anyone else's. 2. It is our duty to protect our patients as best we are able. 3. It is our duty to work to prevent sickness and injury from occurring whenever possible.	10/1/2015 12:51 PM
61	Camus carry shouldn't be implemented!	10/1/2015 12:39 PM
62	I believe people are generally uninformed when it comes to this issue. There is a tendency, if uninformed, to immediately correlate firearms with crime, and that is reasonable to understand. I think if people really understood what the benefits and requirements are of people having concealed firearms, it would significantly help the matter. Hearing only the cons and leaving the rest up to the imagination to determine what could happen is not helping.	10/1/2015 12:35 PM
63	oes risk of financial damage not extend to students and faculty? I think that consideration needs to be discussed	10/1/2015 12:33 PM
64	General safety, financial costs associated with implementing such a ridiculous policy (I know it's the fault of the state and not of uthscsa)	10/1/2015 12:30 PM
65	If Starbucks and Subway become no carry zones, where exactly would the boundary for those areas be? I assume concealed carriers would like to be able to walk between the medical school building and the library.	10/1/2015 12:26 PM
66	Professional school in general is a very stressful environment, and my concern is that even though these persons are licensed, there is no way to evaluate their mental status at a particular time, and whether or not stressful stimulus can trigger them to misuse their firearms. Also there is also the possibility that they do not properly conceal or lock up their firearms, and it can get into the wrong hands of someone who is intent on using it to cause harm to other individuals	10/1/2015 12:23 PM
67	There should be as few exclusions as possible.	10/1/2015 12:17 PM
68	Guns accidentally going off (especially with back packs being thrown around in classrooms) - how is this even a point of debate???	10/1/2015 12:12 PM

Results of CPI survey on campus carry

The survey was sent out as a Monkey Survey to 402 PIs* on Oct 23. The poll closed on Oct. 27. The response rate was 48% (193/402). Respondents were asked to give on a 1-5 scale their preference for prohibiting (1) or allowing without restrictions (5) licensed individuals to carry concealed handguns in several areas.

location	prohibited (1)	some restrictions (2-4)	no restrictions (5)
PI offices	85%	6.7%	8.3%
laboratories	86%	6.3%	5.3%
classrooms	85%	7.4%	5.7%
seminars	85%	9.3%	5.7%
departmental offices	83%	10.3%	6.7%
conference rooms	84%	9.8	6.2%

67 individuals also sent specific comments. These reflected the responses above.


*The list included 110 assistant professors, 94 associate professors, and 185 professors.

Graduate Student Concealed Carry Survey Responses


Mika Sifuentes

11/11/15


- Survey sent to about 300 graduate students
- Distributed by email on November 2nd
- Received 61 responses




How informed are you on SB11?




How comfortable are you with the idea of concealed carry on campus?



How satisfied are you with the communication to students about concealed carry on campus?




Should concealed carry be permitted in the following areas?



Some Additional Comments

- “Guns should be prohibited from all UTHSCSA university and medical campuses. There is no reason for a civilian to be carrying a gun in a hospital or on a medical campus.”
- “Our school should be doing more to voice opposition to this law while complying.”
- “100% in support of campus carry and the 2nd Amendment.”
- “I am a Navy Vet, spent time in Afghanistan and a CHL holder but feel there is no good place on campus to carry weapons.”

Would you be interested in attending a training seminar on workplace violence and/or civilian response to a workplace shooter, provided by the UTHSCSA campus police?



Summary

- Students were evenly split on how informed they were on SB11 and how satisfied they were on communication to students.
- 32% of students were comfortable with concealed carry on campus, 61% were not, 7% had no opinion.
- 70-75% of students were opposed to concealed carry in the UH, laboratories, and patient care centers.
- 60-65% of students were opposed to concealed carry in animal care facilities, and sporting events.
- 55-60% of students were opposed to concealed carry in classrooms and Gold's Gym.
- 57% of students were interested in training about workplace violence and active shooter response.